Bay d'Espoir Unit 8 Project Early Execution Update

November 17, 2025

A report to the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities



Contents

| 1.0 | Progress to Date | 1 |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 1.1 | Engage EPCM Consultant | |
| 1.2 | Engage Turbine Generator Suppliers | |
| 1.3 | Environmental Assessment Registration | 1 |
| 2.0 | Project Risks and Mitigations | 2 |
| 3.0 | Project Schedule | . 4 |
| 4.0 | Project Budget | . 5 |
| 5.0 | Project Expenditures | 5 |
| 6.0 | Conclusion | 6 |

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Detailed Cost Information



1 1.0 Progress to Date

- 2 As part of ongoing early execution activities for Bay d'Espoir Unit 8 ("BDE Unit 8"), the following update
- 3 outlines the current status of key project activities.

4 1.1 Engage EPCM Consultant

- 5 The Request for Proposals ("RFP") for EPCM¹ services was issued on June 13, 2025, and closed on
- 6 September 18, 2025. Three comprehensive proposals were received and are currently under evaluation,
- 7 with an award anticipated in December 2025.

8

20

1.2 Engage Turbine Generator Suppliers

- 9 The process of engaging with turbine generator suppliers is ongoing. Phase 1 Request for Supplier
- 10 Qualification for the supply and installation of a 150 MW turbine and generator closed on May 28, 2025.
- An RFP for Phase 2 was subsequently issued to selected pre-qualified proponents on July 24, 2025.
- 12 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") continues to assess options to attain the optimal
- 13 contracting approach within the established project scope, budget, and schedule, incorporating
- 14 feedback received from proponents during Phase 1 and Phase 2. Once the successful proponent is
- selected, the contract award for Phase 3, which includes detailed design, model testing, manufacturing,
- delivery, installation, and commissioning, will follow.
- 17 Preliminary engineering and model testing are now anticipated to carry further into 2026; however, this
- 18 currently does not impact the planned start date of Phase 3 (February 2026) or the overall estimated
- 19 project Commercial Operation Date ("COD").

1.3 Environmental Assessment Registration

- 21 The Environmental Assessment Registration and associated Environmental Protection Plan were
- submitted on July 31, 2025. As a result of the provincial election period, the date for the decision by the
- 23 Minister of Environment and Climate Change has been delayed from September to late November 2025.

¹ Engineering, Procurement and Construction Management ("EPCM").



Page 1

2.0 Project Risks and Mitigations

- 2 A summary of key risks pertaining to BDE Unit 8 identified during the planning and execution of the
- 3 project, as well as associated mitigations and status, are provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Key Risks^{2,3}

| Risk Title/Description | Mitigations | Status |
|---|--|--|
| Supply chain pressures may increase the cost of goods and increase delivery times. Global supply chain delays caused by global energy demand increases, green projects, etc., may impact schedule and cost. The recently announced planned work for the New Energy Partnership will introduce market pressures on labour, engineering, equipment, and materials. | Maintain the planned project schedule. Early procurement of long-lead or critical items. Pursue early engagement and secure manufacturing slots in advance of contract award. Consider appropriate Management Reserve for strategic risks. | Open – Project schedule is being maintained, and early procurement of the turbine generator is progressing. Management Reserve included in the overall project budget to address strategic risks. |
| Limited number of hydro turbine suppliers results in schedule delays and increased costs. As a result of competition from other projects, there may be limited supplier resources, added complexities in the international supply chain and a potential "seller's market" resulting in higher costs, and extended delivery schedule. | Engage with suppliers in model testing scope as soon as possible. Enhanced oversight during the design and manufacturing process. Engage with suppliers to explore contracting models and risk allocation strategies. Execute procurement in early execution phase. | Open – Engaging with turbine generator suppliers in early execution phase. Phased procurement process being followed. Phase 1 RSFQ complete. Phase 2 ongoing, however contracting approach being reassessed based on feedback from vendors. Phase 3 milestone for contract award in February remains achievable. |
| Regulatory (Board) ⁴ approval process extends beyond the assumed timeline. If the regulatory approval process extends beyond the assumed timeline, the project schedule will be delayed and the ability to make contract commitments to support the project schedule will be | Produce a robust Board application and work closely with the Board during the application process. Receive timely Board approval of Early Execution Application. | Open – 2025 Build Application has been submitted to Board. Approval of Hydro's initial early execution application received. Current schedule for expert report from the Board's consultant likely pushes process for regulatory review beyond year-end into 2026. |

² This table considers the whole scope of the BDE Unit 8 Project, not only early execution activities. It is intended to highlight only key risks that may impact project success. Hydro uses a more comprehensive project risk register to facilitate risk management. Hydro regularly updates the risk register, and should a risk escalate in ranking or a new high risk be identified, it will be added to this table in future updates.

⁴ Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities ("Board").



Page 2

³ Risks which have been shown as closed in a previous report have been removed.

| Risk Title/Description | Mitigations | Status |
|--|--|--|
| impacted. This will have both a schedule and cost impact due to cost escalation and loss of project momentum. | | Further process and schedule for review of the application will not be established before the end of November 2025. |
| | | Depending on the timelines for regulatory process and anticipated approval, this delay may have material impact on the overall project budget and schedule. To mitigate against schedule delays and cost increases, an extension to early execution for a portion of 2026 is currently under development for submission to the Board for approval. |
| Interface risks with other work in Bay d'Espoir (Unit 7 Life Extension, Penstock Replacements, etc.). | Ensure that the execution plan considers the potential impacts of other adjacent projects. Evaluate potential synergies and | Open – Decision to combine the EPCM services for the major projects that are planned to be executed at Bay d'Espoir between |
| Other work at the BDE site may be ongoing at the same time as BDE Unit 8 construction. The execution plan for BDE Unit 8 may need to change to accommodate the other planned projects. This may have impacts on cost and schedule. | opportunities. Establish an overarching/integrated plan to identify interfaces, risks, and opportunities. | 2025 and 2031 presents an opportunity to improve interface management and optimize EPCM services, which would reduce schedule risks and associated cost risks for each of the projects. |
| If internal decision-making processes are not efficient, it can lead to project execution delays and schedule and cost impacts. For example, time-sensitive decisions such as awarding of contracts (e.g., equipment and construction) and proceeding with early execution. Cost impact of a one-year delay estimated at \$30 million to \$50 million. | Established Project Governance structure, project steering committee, and project leadership team with clear limits of authority. Established processes and systems to facilitate effective decision making, including a review of existing authority levels. Developing contingency plans for key personnel so decisions can be made when there are competing priorities or absences. Corporate Interface Manager in place to manage all interfaces between Major Projects and Corporate Groups. | Open – Governance structure established. Authority levels are suited to current project phase. Interface Manager established for internal interface resolution. Continue to monitor for efficient decision making as early execution progresses. |



3.0 Project Schedule

- 2 Certain variances related to the schedules for the EPCM Consultant Selection and Environmental
- 3 Assessment Release milestones are noted above.

4 EPCM Consultant Selection

1

- 5 Award of the EPCM consultant contract is tracking behind the baseline schedule due to the change in
- 6 contracting approach that was described in previous project updates. To reiterate, a decision was made
- 7 to combine the EPCM services for the major projects planned for execution in Bay d'Espoir between
- 8 2025 and 2031 (BDE Unit 8 construction, BDE Unit 7 life extension, Penstock 2 life extension, and
- 9 Penstock 3 life extension). The primary benefits of this decision were to improve interface management
- and optimize EPCM services to reduce schedule risks and associated cost risks for each of the projects.
- 11 The re-packaging also made the proposal more attractive to proponents. However, the impact on the
- BDE Unit 8 Project was a delay to the RFP process by approximately three months, as additional time
- was needed to establish the combined scope for the RFP. While this delay impacts the schedule for early
- execution, it is not anticipated to have an impact on the estimated COD.

15 Environmental Assessment Release

- 16 Aforementioned, the planned Environmental Assessment Release date has been delayed and is now
- anticipated in November 2025 as a result of the provincial election period.
- 18 The forecast COD for BDE Unit 8 remains unchanged from the Project Control Schedule Baseline
- included with the 2025 Build Application, with a COD forecast for April 30, 2031. Schedule variances
- 20 pertain to non-critical path activities, which have sufficient flexibility to absorb any changes without
- 21 impacting the overall project timeline.

Regulatory Approval Process

22

- 23 Hydro notes that the current schedule for expert reports from the Board's consultants is likely to push
- 24 the process for regulatory review beyond year-end 2025 into 2026, making full project approval by year-
- 25 end 2025 unlikely. Further process and schedule for review of the application will not be established
- 26 before the end of November 2025. Depending on the timelines for the regulatory process and
- anticipated approval, this delay may have a material impact on the overall project budget and schedule.
- 28 To mitigate against schedule delays and cost increases, an extension to early execution for a portion of
- 29 2026 is currently under development for submission to the Board for approval.



1 A summary of the current BDE Unit 8 Early Execution Project Schedule is provided in Table 2.

Table 2: Early Execution Project Schedule Summary – BDE Unit 8

| Milestone | Actual/Forecast | Impact on COD |
|---|-----------------|---------------|
| Environmental Assessment Release | 17-Nov-25 | No |
| EPCM Consultant Selection | 1-Dec-25 | No |
| Board Approval | TBD | TBD |
| T&G⁵ – Phase 3 – Contract Award | 3-Feb-26 | No |

2 4.0 Project Budget

- 3 The Board approved an early execution budget of \$16,670,000. Hydro is progressing the work within the
- 4 approved budget (with planned expenditures such as EPCM and T&G costs moving into 2026 as
- 5 indicated above). Hydro continues to actively manage risks to maintain compliance with all regulatory
- 6 requirements. Variances in planned early execution expenditures are outlined in the following section.

7 5.0 Project Expenditures

- 8 As of September 30, 2025, 6 the expenditure forecast is tracking below the approved early execution
- 9 budget. Expenditures are tracking less than planned primarily due to the change in schedule for
- 10 engagement of the EPCM consultant and a variation to the contracting approach for the turbine
- 11 generator (described in Sections 1.0 and 3.0). The forecast presented in Appendix A represents a
- 12 consistent monthly spend in the January to March 2026 timeframe and will be further refined once bid
- 13 pricing and schedules are received for the EPCM consultant and turbine generator contractor.
- 14 The variance between the current forecast of \$14.4 million and the approved budget of \$16.7 million is
- 15 primarily due to Hydro not including contingency in its forecast expenditures, as project contingency
- 16 drawdowns will be forecasted when an actual change is processed. Also, internal labour costs (project
- 17 management, engineering, plant support) are lower than budgeted since support for the EPCM
- 18 contractor and turbine generator contractor is not yet required. In addition, Hydro is currently

⁶ The information contained in the Detailed Cost Information, attached as Appendix A, is completed through Hydro's review of the contractor(s)' progress reports and the time between the referenced date and the date of this report to the Board includes both the time taken by the contractor to prepare the report and the time Hydro requires to review and incorporate the data into the monthly summary.



⁵ Turbine and Generator ("T&G").

- 1 forecasting lower than budgeted interest during construction costs, associated with the actual spend
- 2 profile and a recent drop in the applicable interest rate.
- 3 Appendix A provides further detailed cost information, including an overview of costs incurred to
- 4 September 30, 2025.

5 6.0 Conclusion

- 6 Overall, the project continues to progress in line with early execution objectives. While some schedule
- 7 slippage has occurred for the EPCM Contract Award and the Environmental Release Date, these delays
- 8 are being actively managed, and they do not have any impact on overall COD. Hydro continues to
- 9 actively manage risks to maintain compliance with all regulatory requirements.
- 10 Financial performance remains stable; while expenditures are tracking lower than forecast, that is
- mainly due to schedule adjustments with expected spend to increase in the first quarter of 2026 once
- the EPCM and turbine generator contracts are awarded.
- 13 The regulatory process and anticipated Board approval are expected to push into 2026, and this delay
- may have a material impact on the overall project budget and schedule. To mitigate against schedule
- 15 delays and cost increases, a new extension to early execution for a portion of 2026 is currently under
- development for submission to the Board for approval.



Appendix A

Detailed Cost Information



Redacted

Redacted